



Change notification by ANSP

In accordance with CAA Regulation 09/2020 transposing Regulation (EU) 2017/373

Please read the attached Guidance Notes before completing this form.

1. Contact details									
1.1 Name of the ANSP (Certificate holder and Certificate number(s)):									
1.2 Address where the change will take place:									
1.2 P	rimary Contact person for this char	nge:	Secondary (Contac	t perso	n for tl	nis chan	ge:	
1.3 Jo	ob title:								
1.4 P	hone:								
1.5 E	mail:								
	entification of Change								
2.1 D	Pate of submission of this notificati	ion:							
2.2 P	rovide a title for this change:								
2.3 P	ropose a date for the introduction o	of the chang	ge:						
2.4 R	eference:		2.5 Notif	ficatio	n versi	on no.:			
2.6 D	Pate of the first submission (in case	of new vers	ion):						
3. Fu	nctional system changes								
	nctional system changes the appropriate box or boxes								
Tick	the appropriate box or boxes								
Tick	the appropriate box or boxes Change to the system								
Tick	Change to the system Change to the procedures								
Tick	Change to the system Change to the procedures								
Tick	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources			1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆
1. Sa 4. Sa 4.1 S	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources ffety Assessment	afety Suppo	ort Assess			3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆
1. Sa 4. Sa 4.1 S	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources Ifety Assessment Cafety Assessment (Severity Level)	afety Suppo	ort Assess			3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆
1. Sa 4. Sa 4.1 S	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources Ifety Assessment Cafety Assessment (Severity Level)	afety Suppo	ort Assess			3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆
1. Sa 4. Sa 4.1 S	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources Ifety Assessment Cafety Assessment (Severity Level)	afety Suppo	ort Assess			3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆
1. Sa 4. Sa 4.1 S	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources Ifety Assessment Cafety Assessment (Severity Level)	afety Suppo	ort Assess			3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆
1. Sa 4.1 S	Change to the system Change to the procedures Change to the human resources Ifety Assessment Cafety Assessment (Severity Level)	afety Suppo	ort Assess			3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	N/A 🗆





4.3 ATS entity in charge of Safety Assessment:
5. Description of the Change
5.1 Type of change: Tick one box only
Routine Change conducted in accordance with change procedures approved by the CA: (notification
minimum 10 working days in advance of the change)
Non-complex change to the management or safety management system or the Functional System
(minimum 35 working days in advance of the change).
Complex change to the to the management or safety management system or
Functional System (minimum 90 working days in advance of the change)
Unplanned/Urgent Change conducted in accordance with change procedures approved by the
CA (notification is submitted as per SP CA approved procedures)
CA (nonficiation to outsimiled up per of CAT approved procedures)
Note: A CA review may take place for any of the above changes. The SP will be notified.
5.2 Purpose of the change:
5.3 Reason for the change:
8





5.4 Place of implementation:		
5.5. Constituents of the functional system being changed: (if relevant)		
(Equipment/Procedures/Human Resources)		
6. Describe the impact of the change:	Yes	No
a. Will the change:		
a) result in a new or changed safety case or safety assurance documentation		
b) result in new or changed interoperability (IOP) documentation		
c) introduce a technology that is new to the notifying organization		
d) result in a change to operational or engineering manuals		
e) result in user training for operators and/or engineers		
f) require a 'deviation' from your change management procedures or your procedure for the detection of cases of problematic use of psychoactive substances	or 🗌	
g) impact on the organizations ANSP/TO certificate		





6.b Describe other impacts/or provide details/elaboration to the result of 6.a:		





7. Service Providers /other aviation undertakings affected by the change: Mark affected services and state name of undertaking:
Air Traffic Services (ATS):
Communication:
☐ Navigation:
Surveillance Systems:
Aeronautical Information Services (AIS):
☐ Meteorological Services (MET):
Air Space Management (ASM):
Air Traffic Flow Management (ATFM):
Other - Provide details hereunder:
Other - provide details





8. Safety acceptability of a change to the functional system				
ATS.OR.210 Safety criteria				
(a) An air traffic services provider shall determine the safety acceptability of a change to a functional system, based on the analysis of the risks posed by the introduction of the change, differentiated on basis of types of operations and stakeholder classes, as appropriate.				
	by using specific and verifiable safety criteria, where each vel of safety risk or another measure that relates to safety risk.			
8.1. Quantitative Measure (level) of Safety Risk (pre	e mitigation)			
Based on the analysis of the risks posed by the introdu (i.e. specific and verifiable safety criteria) is expressed	in terms of an explicit quantitative level of safety risk.			
Summary of the Preliminary Safety Assessment for the Change (including the justification for the Severity of the consequences of the change)	Highest Severity Class for the potential effects of the hazards identified			
[description]	enter figure or n/a			
8.2 Other Measures (Proxies) related to Safety Risk (pr	re-mitigation)			
Based on the analysis of the risks posed by the introdu and verifiable safety criteria) is expressed in terms of a				
AMC2 ATS.OR.210(a) Safety criteria - Other measure	s related to safety risks — proxies			
[Confirm the following;] Proxies for safety risk, used a system affected by the change, shall only be employed				
\square (a) a justifiable causal relationship exists between the proxy [or proxies] and the harmful				
effect, e.g. proxy increase/decrease causes risk increase/decrease \Box (b) the proxy [or proxies] is sufficiently isolated from other proxies to be treated independently;				
\square (c) the proxy [or proxies] is measurable, quantitatively or qualitatively, to an adequate degree of certainty.				
List the proxy or proxies used related only to the high measurable, quantitatively or qualitatively, to an ade				
1.				
2. 3.				
4.				
5.				





Where a quantitative value is derived provide the			
highest quantitative measure which relates to the highest risk(s)	enter figure or n/a		
ingliest lisk(s)	enter figure of fi/a		
Where a qualitative approach is used attach the empiri	cal evidence which relates to the highest risk(s)		
9.Other Service Providers or aviation undertaking affected	d by the change?		
No Yes If yes, list hereunder:			
Title			
1.			
2.			
3. 4			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.			
10. Name of entity which oversees or is responsible for the	e assurance case?		
Insert name			
List all organizations involved in developing the safety assuran	ace documentation if applicable		
1.			
2.			
3.			
11. Attachments to this notification:			
No ☐ Yes ☐ <i>If yes, list titles and version numbers hereunde.</i>	r and attach to the submission:		
Title	Version No.		
1.	1.		
2.			
3.			
4. 4.			
12. Declaration			
I declare that I have the legal capacity to submit this Notific information provided in this Notification form is correct an	ation to the competent authority and that all d complete.		
Name of person submitting the notification	Date of submission		
(Insert here)	(Insert here)		